ST JUST IN ROSELAND PARISH – THE WAY FORWARD COORDINATING GROUP SECOND MEETING - AGENDA

AGENDA

- 1. Welcome. (Chair)
- 2. Matters Arising from Previous Meeting. (Sec). Nothing not covered by agenda
- 3. <u>Public Participation</u>. Opportunity for members of the public to raise any matters (time limited set by the Chair at the outset with the maximum being 10 min in total)
- 4. Working Groups Update. (Sec). Of the 12 WGs, Housing & Accommodation WG (HAWG), Planning WG (PlnWG), Transport & Highways WG (THWG), Employment WG (EmpWG), Environment WG (EnvWG) (subsumed into the PC Environment Committee) and Physical & Social Well-Being WG (PSWBWG) have all met. Shops & General Provisions WG (SGPWG), Very Small Enterprises/Sole Traders WG (VSE/STWG) and Education WG (EdnWG) failed to raise enough attendees; and Tourism WG (TsmWG) was postponed. Decisions Required: do we try again with the 'unsuccessful' groups? Do we agree the proposal from THWG to merge with PlnWG?
- 5. <u>Key Issues Arising to Date</u>. (Sec). Each WG that has met has identified a number of 'issues' that, they feel, should be addressed. There is a common theme across several WGs of a lack of 'young working families' arising from a shortage of 'Low Cost Housing'. The full list of issues is recorded in the Notes from the WG Meetings on the PC website at <u>The Way Forward St Just in Roseland Parish Council</u> (stjustinroseland-pc.gov.uk). Potential 'Projects/Initiatives' identified for progression without awaiting the Community Consultation include: Low Cost Housing (up and running); Bus Pass application to Foot Ferry; Late Ferry running linked to Tourism & Employment. <u>Decision Required</u>. Is the CoGp content that these issues are sufficient to proceed to Community Consultation?
- 6. <u>Community Consultation Approach</u>. (Sec). The need for 'Community Consultation' has been acknowledged from the outset but we need to agree on the format. As a start point for discussion:
 - a. Generate questionnaire from 'issues' identified
 - b. Gain WG support for the questions articulated from their 'issues'
 - c. Circulate questions as a paper survey to all residences/premises in the Parish
 - d. Collate & analyse responses
 - e. Present results, and gain feedback/interaction, through an Open Meeting/Day in the Memorial Hall
 - f. Reach conclusions and draft revised Parish Plan

<u>Decisions Required</u>. Is this a sensible approach? What timeline should we work to?

- 7. <u>Comms.</u> (Sec/HR). This is a material concern as, at present, only those who have registered their email are aware of what is going on (Roseland Magazine has an article in the July edition). HR has set up a FB page but it is not 'live' as we have no one to undertaken the 'Page Admin' role. Any suggestions? Should we reduce the load by making it an 'Info Only' Page (ie the Comment function is disabled: anyone who wants to comment would be invited to email <u>Sjir24.Info@gmail.com</u>). <u>Decisions Requires</u>. What way ahead for comms?
- 8. <u>Date of Next Meeting</u>.

Alan Macklin

Secretary

St Just in Roseland Parish - The Way Forward